## Chapter 8 Wavelet Transform (WT) for Image Coding

- Wave vs. Wavelet
  - Figure 8.1



- Wave: Does not have compact support (extends to infinity)
- Transient signal (Anomaly, burst) : have compact support (non-zero only in a short interval)
- Many image features (e.g., edges) highly localized in spatial position.

#### Non-Stationary Signal Analysis

- Stationary signal:
  - Properties not evolve in time
  - Fourier transform (FT) is suitable
- Non-Stationary signal:
  - Properties evolve in time
  - Time-Frequency Analysis
    - 2-D time-frequency space (can be derived from Figure 8.1)
    - Started with Gabor's windowed FT
      - short-time Fourier transform (STFT)
    - Another approach: WT

#### STFT vs. WT

- STFT:
  - Resolution in time and frequency cannot be arbitrarily small, due to Heisenberg inequality:

 $\Delta t \cdot \Delta f \ge 1/(4\pi)$ 

- Once window is chosen:  $\Delta f$  and  $\Delta t$  are fixed
- Meaning anomaly (burst) and trend cannot be analyzed with good resolution simultaneously



STFT

#### • WT:

- Constant relative bandwidth (const. Q):
  - $\Delta f / f = constant$
- Meaning:
  - $\Delta t \downarrow$  as  $\Delta f \uparrow (f \uparrow)$ , and  $\Delta f \downarrow$ as  $f \downarrow$
  - as f<sup>↑</sup>, high time
    resolution obtained
  - as  $f \downarrow$ , high freq. resolution obtained



5





 Figure 8.3 Constant bandwidth analysis (for FT) and relative constant bandwidth analysis (for WT)

#### Example

 A two tone bursts corrupted by random noise



• FT: not easy to be interpreted, in particular, phase spectrum



 Implementation of a bandpass filter bank



8

 $\sum_{\forall i} H_i(S) = 1 \implies \sum_{\forall i} g_i(x) = f(x)$ 

 $H_3(s)$ 

0

0.1

- Smooth bandpass filters
  - impulse responses



0.2

(b)

0.3

0.4

0.5 9

#### transfer functions

#### Example

 Smooth bandpass filter bank output

 $g_1(x)$  $_{g_2(x)}$  m Mm MMM MMM mm mm 8300 Mannan Mannan Mannan x -

 Original signal and corrupted signal

CS 4670/7670 Digital Image Com



### WT: Unification of Several Techniques

- Filter Bank Analysis
- Pyramid Coding
- Subband Coding

### Three Types of WT

- CWT (Continuous WT)
- Wavelet series expansion
- DWT (Discrete WT)

## **Discrete WT**

#### DWT

- Most closely resembles unitary transforms
- Most useful in image compression
- Given a set of orthonormal basis functions, DWT acts just like unitary transform
- Orthonormal wavelets with compact support (by Daubechies):

$${}_{r}\psi(x) = \{2^{j/2}_{r}\psi(2^{j}x-k)\}$$

- $\psi(x)$  :mother wavelet
- *j,k*: integers
- compact support: [0,2r-1]
- shift: k
- dilation (scaling):  $2^{j}$
- N-point signal  $\implies N$  coefficients
  - $N \times N$  image  $\implies N^2$  coefficients

HW #5: Ex. 8-1 CS 4670/7670 Digital Image Compression



### Block Diagram



Two level (1-D) wavelet decomposition and reconstruction



2-D decomposition is realized by filtering along horizontal direction, then along vertical direction.

### Image Decomposition

Scale 1

LL <sub>1</sub>	$HL_{I}$
LH <sub>1</sub>	HH <sub>1</sub>

- 4 subbands: LL<sub>1</sub>,HL<sub>1</sub>,LH<sub>1</sub>,HH<sub>1</sub>
- Each coeff. ↔ a 2\*2 area (*not exactly*) in the original image
- Low frequencies:  $0 < |\omega| < \pi/2$
- High frequencies:  $\pi/2 < \omega < \pi$

### Image Decomposition

- Scale 2
  - 4 subbands:  $LL_2$ ,  $HL_2$ ,  $LH_2$ ,  $HH_2$
  - Each coeff. ↔ a 2\*2 area in Scale 1 image
  - **Low Frequency:**  $0 < |\omega| < \pi/4$
  - High frequencies:  $\pi/4 < \omega < \pi/2$



LL <sub>2</sub>	HL <sub>2</sub>	
		$HL_1$
LH <sub>2</sub>	HH <sub>2</sub>	
LH <sub>1</sub>		$HH_{I}$

16

### Image Decomposition

$LL_2$	$HL_2$	$HL_1$
LH <sub>2</sub>	HH <sub>2</sub>	
LI	H <sub>1</sub>	HH



#### Image Decomposition

- Parent vs. Children
- Descendants: corresponding coeff. at finer scales
- Ancestors: corresponding coeff. at coarser scales



Parent-children dependencies of subbands: arrow points from the subband of parents to the subband of children.

### Image Decomposition

- Feature 1:
  - Energy distribution similar to other TC: concentrated in low frequencies
- Feature 2:
  - Spatial self-similarity across subbands



The scanning order of the subbands for encoding the significance map.

- Differences from DCT Technique
  - In conventional TC
    - Anomaly (edge) ↔ many nonzero coeff.

insignificant energy

- TC allocates too many bits to "trend", few bits left to "anomalies"
- Problem at Very Low Bit-rate Coding : block artifacts
- DWT
  - Trends & anomalies information available
  - Major difficulty: fine detail coefficients associated with anomalies the largest no. of coeff.
    - Problem: how to efficiently represent *position* information?

# EZW Image Coding

#### Embedded Coding

- Having all lower bit rate codes of the same image embedded at the beginning of the bit stream
- Bits are generated in order of importance
  - Bit plane coding, coarser scale to finer scale
- Encoder can terminate encoding at any point, allowing a target rate to be met exactly
- Suitable for applications with scalability

# EZW Image Coding

#### Zerotree of DWT Coefficients

- Significance map: binary decision as to a pixel = 0 or not, w.r.t. a threshold T(T is decreased by half in each scan)
- Total encoding cost = cost of encoding significance map + cost of encoding nonzero values

#### An element of zerotree:

- A coeff.: itself and <u>all</u> of its descendants are insignificant w.r.t. threshold T
- Zerotree root: An element of zerotree, & not a descendant of a zero element at a coarser scale
- Isolated zero: Insignificant, but has some significant descendant
- Significance map can be efficiently represented as a string of four symbols:
  - \* Zerotree root
- \* Positive significant coeff. CS 4670/7670 Digital Image Compression

- \* Isolated zero
- \* Negative significant coeff.



# EZW Image Coding

#### Comparison

- DCT coding
  - Run-length (RLC) [within the same scale]
  - End of block (EOB) [within the same scale]
- EZW coding
  - More efficient due to using self-similarity across different scales
  - Bit plane coder for scalability and efficient exploitation of selfsimilarity
  - Higher quality of reconstructed image:
    - Due to more efficient in position encoding
    - No possibility that a significant coeff. be obscured by a statistical energy measure
  - Experimental results reported: "Barbara" at very low bit rate
    - 2.4 dB better for same bit rate and 0.12 bpp savings for the same PSNR